

CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE

19 March 2026

WRITTEN UPDATES

Agenda Item 8 – Appeals Progress Report

No update

Agenda Item 9 – Land West of Denbigh Close Adjacent to Broughton Road, Banbury

No update

Agenda Item 10 - 38 Longfields, Bicester

Additional Representations received

One additional letter has been received from a member of the public. The comments raise the following points:

- The application wasn't re-advertised following the submission of additional documents
- The properties in the area were built as family homes with significant public money being invested in facilities to support families (such as school, nurseries and Garth Park) - therefore it does not seem reasonable for the council to choose to reduce the amount of family housing in the area
- The decision would force those in need of schools to live further away and be required to travel (contrary to climate change strategies)
- Set a precedent for further similar developments
- OCC still raised concerns following the submission of additional information
- Do not believe the assertions in the parking stress survey are supported by OCC
- Parking concerns have not been addressed

Officer Response

Following the initial objection made by the Local Highway Authority (LHA), the applicant submitted additional plans and a parking stress survey. The LHA were re-consulted and submitted an objection; however, they had not reviewed the parking stress survey and re-considered the proposal. At this stage they concluded there was no objection provided the dropped kerb extension was limited to a maximum of an additional 2m with the provision of only 2 parking spaces. It was requested that this was conditioned, however, officers sought amended plans showing two parking spaces and a reduced width dropped kerb to ensure there was clarity and removed the need for a condition.

The application was advertised correctly in accordance with statutory requirements. The additional information that was submitted during the application related to technical highways

information and therefore it was not necessary to re-consult the public. It was clear that members of the public were concerned about the level of parking provision and any re-consultation was not anticipated to alter these concerns which have already been considered. Advice was sought from the LHA as set out above.

Conclusion

The additional comments received do not raise any additional planning material considerations which have not already been addressed within the case officer's report.

The recommendation remains as it is set out in the case officer report.

Agenda Item 11 – 73 High Street, Kidlington

Officer Update

The applicant has submitted an additional covering letter since the publication of the committee report. The letter includes the following points:

- Adapted rooms and ensuite facilities for my children with long-term illnesses and disabilities
- Critical layout and window placement essential for safety and welfare
- Upper floor previously approved as storage, now adapted due to family circumstances
- Roof approval and Master Kidlington Plan alignment
- Non-heritage status with Conservation Officer confirming no harm
- Equality Act 2010 considerations

The supporting letter does not raise any additional issues which have not already been considered within the case officer report.

Conclusion

The recommendation remains as it is set out in the case officer report.

Agenda item 12 – Hadsham Farm, Hornton Lane, Horley

No update

Agenda item 13 – Dashwood Apartments, Dashwood Road, Banbury

No update